

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,326	10/15/2004	Kouji Ishida	Q84036	6667
23373 73	590 10/18/2005		EXAM	INER
SUGHRUE M	•	ı w	CHEUNG, WILLIAM K	
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		1713	•

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/511,326	ISHIDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	William K. Cheung	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 A	ugust 2005.	•			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 8 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 and 8 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Application of the second in the secon	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Application/Control Number: 10/511,326 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of amendment filed August 31, 2005, claims 5, 7 have been cancelled. Claims 1-4, 6, 8 are pending.

- 2. In view of amendment filed August 31, 2005, the objection of claim 1-5, and 8 is withdrawn.
- 3. Newly amended claims 1-4, and 8 are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The original elected claims are directed to an inner liner comprising a rubber composition which is different from the non-elected invention of claims 1-4, 8 which is drawn to a tire. Both are patentably distinct from each other because they possess different mode of operations and operational functions.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 1-4, 8 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim 6 is examined with merit.

Application/Control Number: 10/511,326 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klemmensen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,005,625) in view of Ajbani et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2003/0004250 A1, U.S. Patent No. 6,721,311).

The prior art to Klemmensen et al. discloses a pneumatic rubber tire having an integral inner liner of a sulfur cured rubber composition comprised of an acrylonitrile/diene copolymer rubber with specified T_g range and at least one designated additional rubber having a Tg of a specified range (Abstract). The specific T_g range of -

Application/Control Number: 10/511,326

Art Unit: 1713

40° C to -105° C for a rubber component including styrene-butadiene copolymer rubber is taught at column 5, line 52-57.

The difference between the prior art and the present application is that Klemmensen et al. are silent that a composition comprising an organized lamellar clay mineral.

The prior art to Ajbani et al. is adequately presented in paragraph 4 previously in this Office Action and is incorporated herein by reference. Ajbani et al. disclose that the clays used in the invention are layered silicate clays of the smectite, vermiculite and mica families and do not include clays that do not have any appreciable swelling potential [0052]. The layered silicate clay being chemically modified by surfactants such as dimethyl dehydrogenated tallow ammonium salt and the benefit of such clay modification, i.e. making it compatible with organic compositions and in general allowing it to provide rheological modification in select organic fluids, can also be seen at [0052]. In view of the disclosure of Ajbani et al., the examiner has a reasonable basis that the claimed "organized lamellar clay mineral is inherently possessed in Ajbani et al.

Further, in light of Ajbani et als' teaching as discussed above, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have appreciated the benefit of using an organically modified layered clay, such as an organically modified mica, and use it in Klemmensen et als' rubber composition, thus to arrive the instant

claim motivated by a reasonable expectation of successfully obtaining the composition of claim 6.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William K. Cheung whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/511,326 Page 6

Art Unit: 1713

272-1097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00AM to

2:00PM; 4:00PM to 8:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David WU can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William K. Cheung

Primary Examiner

October 14, 2005